



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	Art Unit: 1648
KONGERSLEV, et al.)	Examiner: MOSHER, M.
Serial No.: 10/820,155)	Washington, D.C.
Filed: April 8, 2004)	October 11, 2006
For: TREATMENT OF SARS IN)	Docket No.: KONGERSLEV=1
INDIVIDUALS)	Confirmation No.: 6668

ELECTION WITH TRAVERSE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

S i r :

1. In response to the restriction requirement mailed September 11, 2006, Applicants elect group II (claims 35-37, 42-56) with traverse.

2. The Examiner has identified claims 38-41 and 57-65 as linking I/II, and hence we expect that they will also be examined.

3. By preliminary amendment filed on even date herewith, claim 32 (the sole claim of group I) has been amended to depend from group II claim 36, thereby placing claim 32 in group II.

4. In response to the species restriction, applicant elects collectin, with traverse. Traversal is based on allowability of a generic claim. The Examiner conceded that claims 35, 38-43 and 59-66 are generic. We believe that the remaining group II (or linking) claims read on the elected species.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By: 

Iver P. Cooper
Reg. No. 28,005

624 Ninth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
IPC:lms
G:\ipc\g-i\hoib\KONGERSLEV1\pto electiontraverse.wpd